



First-order rate-based flow control with dynamic queue threshold for high-speed wide-area ATM networks¹

Song Chong^{a,*}, Ramesh Nagarajan^{b,2}, Yung-Terng Wang^{b,3}

^a Department of Electronic Engineering, Sogang University, C.P.O. Box 1142, Seoul 100-611, South Korea

^b Performance Analysis Department, Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, NJ 07733, USA

Abstract

In this paper we present a new rate-based flow control scheme for ATM ABR services and analyze its performance. The proposed algorithm, which we refer to as *first-order rate-based flow control* (FRFC) is the most simple form of queue-length-based flow control. The asymptotic stability, the steady-state throughput, queue length and fairness, and the transient behavior are analyzed for the case of multiple connections with diverse round-trip delays. We also consider a novel approach to dynamically adjust a queue threshold in the FRFC according to the changes in the available bandwidth, and the arrival and departure of connections. Simulations show that the simple FRFC with dynamic queue threshold (DQT) effectively maintains high throughput, small loss and a desired fairness in these dynamic environments and is a promising solution for ABR flow control in ATM networks. © 1998 Elsevier Science B.V.

Keywords: ATM networks; ABR flow control; Stability; Fairness; Dynamic queue threshold

1. Introduction

Recently there has been a great interest in feedback-based flow control for high-speed wide-area networking. In particular, a *rate-based approach* has been studied extensively [2,4,5,7–10] and adopted by the ATM Forum as the standard for the flow control of the Available Bit Rate (ABR) service [4,11].

The rate-based flow control problem in high-speed wide-area networks can be stated as follows. Con-

sider a network with a single bottleneck link as depicted in Fig. 1. The geographically distributed sources transmit data into the bottleneck node in their path at the rate allocated by the node. In reality, the bottleneck can be any node in the network and for simplicity, we consider only a single link in the network as a bottleneck. The switch computes the rates that will be allocated to the sources. In the queue-length-based rate control that we consider in this paper, the rates are computed based on a certain function of the difference between the observed queue length and a queue threshold. In this type of approach, a certain fairness in rate allocation among users is accomplished as a consequence of the queue-length control. Examples of this type can be found in [2,5,7,10]. The other type of rate-based flow control [3,9] is to compute directly rate allocations in

* Corresponding author. E-mail: song@globe.sogang.ac.kr.

¹ Parts of this work were carried out while Song Chong was with the Performance Analysis Department at Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, New Jersey. This research was supported in part by the Sogang University Research Grants in 1997.

² E-mail: rameshn@lucent.com.

³ E-mail: ytwang@lucent.com.




Fig. 1. Network model with a single bottleneck link.

a way that a certain fairness property is satisfied. Typically, in this latter approach, the queue length is not explicitly controlled. Communication between the node and the sources is done via special cells that are embedded into the individual data streams. It is well understood that the large bandwidth-delay product involved in the problem can cause a loose control with non-negligible loss and link under-utilization.

There are important criteria in the design of high-performance ABR flow control algorithms. In the following we summarize the criteria considered in this paper:

- Maximal link utilization and small cell loss, and consequently maximal throughput in steady-state.
- Stability (preferably asymptotic stability) of the steady-state solution for the case of multiple virtual circuits (VCs) with long and diverse round-trip delays.
- Fair bandwidth allocation among ABR streams; guarantee of standard fairness criteria such as *MAX-MIN fair share* [11].
- Good transient performance such as fast and uniform convergence irrespective of number of active VCs.
- Adaptability to the changes in the operational environment, for instance, changes in available bandwidth and the number of active VCs.
- Simplicity in implementation.

To meet these criteria, we introduce a new rate-based flow control algorithm which we refer to as *first-order rate-based flow control* (FRFC). The FRFC is a queue-length-based flow control algorithm where the rate allocated to each ABR user is the difference between observed network queue length and queue threshold, multiplied by a control gain. In contrast, most other existing queue-length-based algorithms [2,5–7,10] control the derivative of the rate as a certain function of queue length and thus is viewed

as a second-order rate control. The analysis in the paper will show that the proposed algorithm can make the network queue and the user rates asymptotically stable even for the case of multiple VCs with long and diverse delays, if the control gains are properly chosen. Also, it will be shown that in the steady state the rate allocation satisfies the *MAX-MIN* fairness criterion. To further improve the performance of the FRFC algorithm in dynamic environments where available bandwidth varies and VCs frequently join and leave, we consider an approach to dynamically change the queue threshold in the FRFC whenever the changes in the available bandwidth and the set of active VCs are detected. The simulation study shows that the simple FRFC with dynamic queue threshold (DQT) effectively maintains high throughput, small loss, and *MAX-MIN* fairness in rate allocation in such dynamic environments.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the network model and the FRFC algorithm. In Section 3, the asymptotic stability and steady-state response of the network with FRFC are addressed. Transient behavior is considered in Section 4 and the dynamic queue threshold is introduced in Section 5. In Section 6 simulations are presented, and the conclusion appears in Section 7.

2. Model and control algorithm

The assumptions employed for the analysis of the FRFC algorithm are as follows and are fairly standard [2,7,5]:

- A.1.** The traffic is viewed as a deterministic fluid flow and the network queueing process and the feedback control is continuous in time. This assumption enables us to model the closed-loop system by a differential equation.
- A.2.** The round-trip-time, τ_i , of virtual circuit i is the sum of forward-path delay, τ_i^f , and the backward-path delay, τ_i^b , which consists of propagation, queueing, transmission and processing times. We assume that τ_i is a constant. This is a reasonable assumption in a wide-area network where propagation delays are expected to dominate.

- A.3.** The sources are *persistent* until the system reaches steady state. By the term *persistent*, we means that the source always has enough data to transmit at the allocated rate.
- A.4.** There are no arrivals and departures of virtual circuits until the system reaches steady state.
- A.5.** The available bandwidth of the bottleneck link is constant until the system reaches steady state. Also, the buffer size at the bottleneck link is assumed infinite.

The assumptions A.4–A.5 will be removed as necessary in Section 5 and in Section 6 to deal with dynamic environments.

Let $r_i(t)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, denote the rate allocation to virtual circuit i , which is computed by the switch at time t . Also, let $q(t)$, $\dot{q}(t)$ and μ respectively denote the queue length, its derivative at time t , and the available bandwidth at the bottleneck link. The rate-based flow control algorithm that we introduce in this paper is a switch algorithm of the following simple form:

$$r_i(t) = \left(-\frac{K_i}{n}(q(t) - q_T) \right)^+, \quad K_i > 0, \quad (1)$$

where K_i is the control gain, q_T is the queue length threshold for the flow control, and the symbol $(\cdot)^+$ denotes $\max\{\cdot, 0\}$. We will refer to this algorithm as *first-order rate-based flow control* (FRFC) since as we will see, the behavior of the closed-loop system with this form of algorithm is governed by a first-order differential equation. In contrast, most other existing algorithms found in [2,5–7,10] can be viewed as a second-order flow control since the rate is modulated via its derivative and thus the behavior of the closed-loop system is governed by a second-order differential equation. For instance, the algorithm in [6,7] has the following form:

$$\dot{r}_i(t) = -a_i r_i(t) + b_i(q(t) - q_T), \quad (2)$$

where a_i and b_i are two control constants. A feature of the algorithm (1) is the control gain K_i scaled by the number of VCs n . It will be shown later that such a scaling can lead to a uniform convergence of the bottleneck queue irrespective of the number of VCs. Another property of the algorithm (1) is that the closed-loop system has no stable equilibrium point when $q(t)$ is greater than or equal to q_T . In

other words, as $q(t)$ grows and exceeds q_T , the rate allocation $r_i(t)$ becomes zero and thus with a delay the total arrival rate gets smaller than the available bandwidth at the bottleneck link. Thus, $q(t)$ cannot be asymptotically stabilized at a value greater than or equal to q_T . As we will see, $q(t)$ has only two equilibrium states: one at a positive value smaller than q_T and the other at zero depending on the network operational environment and the choice of the control gain parameters.

According to the above assumptions, the queueing process at the bottleneck link is given as

$$\dot{q}(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t - \tau_i) - \mu, & q(t) > 0, \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t - \tau_i) - \mu \right)^+, & q(t) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

In the next section we investigate the steady-state solutions and the asymptotic stability of these solutions when the control (1) is applied.

3. Steady-state analysis and stability

We suppose that there exist equilibrium points for the closed-loop system and let q_s and $r_{i,s}$ respectively denote the steady-state solution of $q(t)$ and $r_i(t)$. At equilibrium, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{q}(t) = 0$ and thus from (3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_{i,s} - \mu = 0, \quad \text{if } q_s > 0, \quad (4)$$

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n r_{i,s} - \mu \right)^+ = 0, \quad \text{if } q_s = 0, \quad (5)$$

and from Eq. (1),

$$r_{i,s} = \left(-\frac{K_i}{n}(q_s - q_T) \right)^+, \quad \forall i. \quad (6)$$

First consider the case with $0 < q_s \leq q_T$. From Eqs. (4) and (6), we find that if $q_T > n\mu / \sum_{i=1}^n K_i$,

$$q_s = q_T - \frac{n\mu}{\sum_{i=1}^n K_i}; \quad r_{i,s} = \frac{K_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n K_i} \mu, \quad \forall i.$$

Next we consider the case with $q_s = 0 < q_T$. Simi-

larly, from Eqs. (5) and (6), we obtain that if $q_T \leq n\mu/\sum_{i=1}^n K_i$,

$$q_x = 0; \quad r_{ix} = \frac{K_i}{n} q_T \leq \frac{K_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n K_i} \mu, \quad \forall i.$$

The following proposition states the result.

Proposition 3.1. *For FRFC, there are two steady-state solutions (equilibrium points): if $q_T > n\mu/\sum_{i=1}^n K_i$,*

$$q_x = q_T - \frac{n\mu}{\sum_{i=1}^n K_i}; \quad r_{ix} = \frac{K_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n K_i} \mu, \quad \forall i, \quad (7)$$

otherwise,

$$q_x = 0; \quad r_{ix} = \frac{K_i}{n} q_T \leq \frac{K_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n K_i} \mu, \quad \forall i. \quad (8)$$

Note that q_x cannot be greater than or equal to the control threshold q_T . The network queue can be stabilized at either zero or a certain value smaller than q_T . For given $n\mu$, the choice of q_T and K_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, determines where for the system to converge. If q_T and K_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are chosen such that $q_T > n\mu/\sum_{i=1}^n K_i$, the system has the equilibrium in Eq. (7) where the bottleneck link is fully utilized and any desired sharing of the bottleneck bandwidth can be accomplished through a proper selection of control gains. For instance, by taking identical gains, one can achieve MAX-MIN fair bandwidth sharing. On the other hand, if q_T and K_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ are chosen such that $q_T \leq n\mu/\sum_{i=1}^n K_i$, the system has the equilibrium in (8) where the bandwidth sharing can be still fair but the available bandwidth cannot be fully utilized.

Now we investigate the asymptotic stability of the equilibrium point (7) where full link-utilization is achieved. Informally, asymptotic stability implies that all trajectories of the system, in this case the queue length and consequently the source rates as well, which start within some bound of the equilibrium point remain within another bound of the equilibrium point and further the trajectory asymptotically approaches the equilibrium point. The rigorous definition of asymptotic stability of equilibrium points can be found in [1]. In the following, we analyze only the local asymptotic stability and the global asymptotic

stability is investigated via simulations only. This is similar to the approach in [2]. For understanding the local stability, we can ignore the non-linearities introduced by the buffer floor, ceiling and the control, and the queueing process at the bottleneck link can be written as

$$\dot{q}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t - \tau_i) - \mu. \quad (9)$$

and

$$r_i(t) = -\frac{K_i}{n}(q(t) - q_T), \quad (10)$$

respectively. Define

$$e(t) = q(t) - q_x = q(t) - q_T + \frac{n\mu}{\sum_{i=1}^n K_i}. \quad (11)$$

By combining Eqs. (9)–(11), we obtain the following closed-loop equation:

$$\dot{e}(t) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i e(t - \tau_i) = 0, \quad (12)$$

which is a first-order retarded differential equation [1,12]. The characteristic equation of the closed-loop Eq. (12), denoted by $D(s)$, is

$$D(s) = s + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i e^{-s\tau_i} = 0, \quad (13)$$

which is an exponential polynomial of s . For asymptotic stability of the closed-loop Eq. (12), all the roots of the characteristic Eq. (13) must have negative real parts [1,12].

To find the necessary and sufficient condition for $D(s) = 0$ to have stable roots, one can appeal to Pontryagin's criterion [1,7] assuming discrete delays of rational ratios. For more general case with continuous delays or discrete delays of irrational ratios, to which our problem belongs, Stépán's criterion [12] provides a way to construct the necessary and sufficient condition. However, constructing such a condition in an explicit form is extremely complicated for the system with multiple connections of diverse round-trip delays.

Instead of finding the necessary and sufficient condition, we will derive a useful sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of the equilibrium point (7). The following theorem in [12], which we

re-state below for convenience, provides the means to construct the necessary condition.

Theorem 3.1. Consider the characteristic function $D(s)$ given by

$$D(s) = \sum_{i=0}^d (-1)^i a_i(s) s^{d-i}. \quad (14)$$

Suppose that there exist the polynomials R^+ , R^- , S^+ and S^- such that

$$R^-(\omega) \leq R(\omega) = \operatorname{Re} D(i\omega) \leq R^+(\omega), \quad (15)$$

$$S^-(\omega) \leq S(\omega) = \operatorname{Im} D(i\omega) \leq S^+(\omega), \quad (16)$$

for $\omega \in [0, \infty)$. Suppose that R^+ and R^- have the same number of real positive zeros. These zeros are denoted by $\rho_1^- \geq \dots \geq \rho_p^+ > 0$ and $\rho_1 \geq \dots \geq \rho_p > 0$ and they determine the intervals $I_{R_l} = [\min\{\rho_l^-, \rho_l^+\}, \max\{\rho_l^-, \rho_l^+\}]$ ($l = 1, \dots, p$). In exactly the same way, the zeros of S^+ and S^- define the intervals I_{S_j} ($j = 1, \dots, q$). Furthermore, let all these intervals be disjoint and let us choose the representative real numbers $\rho_l^0 \in I_{R_l}$ ($l = 1, \dots, p$) and $\sigma_j^0 \in I_{S_j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, q-1$).

All the roots of the characteristic equation $D(s) = 0$ have negative real parts if

$$\begin{aligned} d &= 2m, \\ S(\rho_l^0) &\neq 0, \quad l = 1, \dots, p, \\ \sum_{l=1}^p (-1)^l \operatorname{sgn} S(\rho_l^0) &= (-1)^m m; \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} d &= 2m + 1, \\ R(\sigma_j^0) &\neq 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, q-1, \\ R(0) &> 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^{q-1} (-1)^j \operatorname{sgn} R(\sigma_j^0) &+ \frac{1}{2}((-1)^q + (-1)^m) \\ &+ (-1)^m m = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where m is integer and the symbol sgn denotes sign function.

The above theorem leads to the following result.

Proposition 3.2. The closed-loop system (12) is asymptotically stable if $(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^n K_i \tau_i < 1$.

Proof. From Eq. (13), we have $d = 1$ ($m = 0$) and

$$\begin{aligned} R(\omega) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i \cos(\omega \tau_i), \\ S(\omega) &= \omega - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i \sin(\omega \tau_i). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Since $K_i > 0, \forall i$, the second condition in Eq. (18), $R(0) > 0$, is satisfied. On the other hand, one can estimate $S(\omega)$ in the following way:

$$S(\omega) > S^-(\omega) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i \tau_i\right) \omega, \quad \omega \in (0, \infty), \quad (20)$$

since $\sin(\omega \tau_i) < \omega \tau_i$ for $\omega \in (0, \infty)$. If $(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^n K_i \tau_i < 1$, it is obvious that $S(\omega)$ has no real positive zeros since it is positive for $\omega \in (0, \infty)$. Thus, no σ_j^0 's exist and hence the first and the second stability conditions in (18) are degenerated, independently from the polynomial estimation of $R(\omega)$. Theorem 3.1 implies the statement of the proposition. \square

Since the above condition for stability is only a sufficient condition, the question naturally arises as to its tightness. Consider the case that $K_i = K, \forall i$. In this case, the condition implies that $K < \tau_{\text{avg}}^{-1}$ where τ_{avg} is the average round-trip delay of all the active VCS, i.e., $\tau_{\text{avg}} = (\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i)/n$. It will be shown in the next section that the closed-loop system converges nearly exponentially and the gain K serves as the damping constant of the exponential decay. Since any reactive feedback-based flow control scheme in a wide-area network can only be expected to converge to the equilibrium point as fast as the average round-trip delay will permit, we hence conjecture that the condition for asymptotic stability is fairly tight in spite of being only a sufficient condition. The simulation studies in Section 6 will provide further evidence of the fast convergence even when the control parameters are set in accordance with the potentially restrictive sufficient condition. In addition, it is very difficult to find a sufficient and necessary condition, in an explicit form that is read-

ily applicable, for the case of multiple connections with diverse round-trip delays [12]. In contrast, the condition in Proposition 3.2 is easy to use and requires only the estimate of average round-trip delay if identical gains are chosen.

The above stability analysis technique can also be applied to a higher-order flow control problem. In [6] we have derived a sufficient condition for the asymptotic stability of a class of second-order flow control algorithms such as the one in (2) for the case of multiple VCs with diverse delays. Also, in [7] the Pontryagin’s criterion [1] has been applied to the second-order flow control problem.

4. Transient analysis

In this section, we consider the transient behavior of the ABR rate control algorithm whose behavior is governed by the first-order delayed differential equation in (12), or equivalently

$$\dot{q}(t) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i q(t - \tau_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i q_z, \quad (21)$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$q(t) = \begin{cases} q_0, & t = 0, \\ 0, & t < 0. \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Consider the case that $K_i = K, \forall i$ and $\tau_i = 0, \forall i$. For this system, the solution of the above differential equation is:

$$q(t) = (q_0 - q_z) e^{-Kt} + q_z, \quad t > 0. \quad (23)$$

Note that in this section, we use e to denote the exponential function which is not to be confused with the error function $e(t)$ defined in Eq. (11). We see above that the initial error in queue length dies exponentially fast with damping constant $-K$. The question of interest is whether for the retarded dynamical system this is still true.

For case of illustration, we consider the case that $K_i = K$ and $\tau_i = \tau$, i.e., a homogeneous system with all sources at equal delay from the bottleneck queue. With these values for the gain and delay, we consider the solution $q(t)$ of the differential Eq. (21). The above equation can be solved using either the

Laplace transform method or by forward deduction proceeding a time τ ahead in time each step and recognizing that the queue evolution equation does not change in this time period. The complete solution for $q(t)$ is then given as

$$q(t) = q_0 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-K)^j \frac{(t - j\tau)^j}{j!} u(t - j\tau) - q_z \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-K)^{j-1} \frac{(t - j\tau)^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} u(t - j\tau), \quad (24)$$

where $u(\cdot)$ is the unit step function. The sums in the above term appear to be Taylor series expansions of the exponential function $e^{-K(t-j\tau)}$ suggesting that the transient behavior of the retarded system also is exponential in nature. However, close examination shows the terms in the sum above do not exactly match the Taylor series expansions of the exponential function due to the term $t - j\tau$ and the unit step function. In fact, one can see that for $0 < t < \tau$, the behavior of $q(t)$ is

$$q(t) = Kq_z t + q_0, \quad (25)$$

and hence the effect of the initial condition does not diminish at all in $(0, \tau)$. However, the close resemblance to the Taylor series expansion tempted us to consider an exponential approximation.

Our general exponential approximation is

$$q(t) \approx (q_0 - q_z) e^{\beta t} + q_z, \quad (26)$$

where β is derived by two different means. In the first approximation, which we label as exp1, we choose $\beta = -K$. In the second approximation, labelled as exp2, we obtain β by substituting the above approximation for $q(t)$ in Eq. (21) and solving the implicit equation

$$\beta + K e^{-\beta \tau} = 0. \quad (27)$$

Note that no solution for β may exist in which case we select a value of β which minimizes the left-hand side of the above equation. Further, we found in our numerical studies that better approximations were obtained by matching $q(t)$ at time $t = \tau$ rather than at time $t = 0$ as warranted by the initial




Fig. 2. Transient behavior of the bottleneck queue: (a) low gain, (b) high gain.

conditions above. This is due to the distinctly different behavior of $q(t)$ in $(0, \tau)$ as outlined previously. In this case, our general exponential approximation can be rewritten as

$$q(t) \approx (q(\tau) - q_s) e^{\beta(t-\tau)} + q_s, \quad t > \tau. \quad (28)$$

In order to verify the accuracy of the above approximation, we carried out some numerical studies with different values of K and q_0 with $\tau = 40$ ms. Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b) show the actual behavior of the queue and the approximation above for stable gains $K = 2.0$ and $K = 10.0$ respectively. It can be seen that the actual queue behavior is accurately tracked by both approximations for small K . In fact, with $K = 2.0$, the value of β obtained by solving Eq. (27) is $\beta = -2.18$ which is close to the value of β in exp1. For $K = 10.0$, we find that exp1 is considerably conservative compared to the actual behavior of $q(t)$ which is better approximated by exp2. In this case, we found no solution of β which satisfies Eq. (27); we choose a value of $\beta = -23.0$ which approximately minimizes the left-hand side of Eq. (27). This indicates that in this case $q(t)$ converges to its steady-state value almost twice as fast as the gain value $K = 10.0$.

While the exact transient performance can be easily computed as in Eq. (24), the exponential approximation above serves two purposes. First, it suggested to us that the effect of the initial condition dies out nearly exponentially in FRFC and second it helps us determine a thumb of rule for the transient

response of the system. As a thumb of rule, we may choose $1/K$ as the time constant of the system, i.e., the effect of the initial condition diminishes by e^{-1} every $1/K$ time units. Finally, note that the transient behavior of $q(t)$ is independent of the number of active VCs, which is a highly desirable property in practice since it guarantees an identical speed of convergence no matter how many VCs are active. In contrast, it can be seen that if the gain is not scaled by the number of VCs in the control (1), the approximation exp1 of the transient behavior is given by

$$q(t) \approx (q_0 - q_s) e^{-nKt} + q_s, \quad (29)$$

and nK should be smaller than $(1/\tau_{\text{avg}})$ for asymptotic stability. What is undesirable in this type of control is that one needs to estimate the maximum number of possible VCs, say n_{max} , and the gain should be selected for this extreme case such that $K < 1/(n_{\text{max}} \tau_{\text{avg}})$. As a consequence, in nominal cases with n much smaller than n_{max} , the system would converge unnecessarily slowly due to the small value of the chosen gain.

The above analysis considered a homogeneous system. The exact transient behavior of the system for VCs with heterogeneous delays can also be written down in a form similar to Eq. (24). However, some more analytical work is needed to understand the qualitative transient behavior in this heterogeneous system as was done for the homogeneous system above.

5. Control with dynamic queue threshold

So far we have studied the asymptotic stability and the steady-state solutions of the system in a static environment where the bottleneck bandwidth μ and the set of active VCs are assumed to be unchanged. In reality, however, these assumptions are no longer true. The available bandwidth at the bottleneck link is time-varying since it depends on the instantaneous aggregate traffic of higher-priority services such as CBR and real-time/non-real-time VBR in ATM. Also, the set of active VCs keeps changing due to the frequent arrival and departure of VCs. One of the major problem in such a dynamic environment is that the quantity $n\mu/\sum_i^n K_i$ changes and hence, as shown in Proposition 3.1, the equilibrium point (i.e., the steady-state solution) of the system varies. More specifically, if $n\mu/\sum_i^n K_i$ grows and exceeds q_T due to the changes in μ and the set of active VCs, the link would become under-utilized and the queue would converge to zero. On the other hand, if we choose q_T large enough to avoid such a under-utilization of link bandwidth, q_s would grow and so the likelihood of cell loss would increase for given buffer budget.

What is desirable in such a dynamic environment is the capability to keep $q_T - n\mu/\sum_i^n K_i$, i.e., q_s , constant and positive. To accomplish this, the switch can adaptively change either q_T or the control gains whenever the changes in μ and the set of active VCs are detected. Considering the large number of VCs in a high speed link, we choose the former option. The dynamic queue threshold (DQT) algorithm that we propose in this paper is to change the queue threshold in the following manner:

$$q_T(t) = \frac{|I(t)|\mu(t)}{\sum_{i \in I(t)} K_i} + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon > 0. \quad (30)$$

where $\mu(t)$ and $I(t)$ respectively denote time-varying bottleneck bandwidth and the set of active VCs with cardinality $|I(t)|$, and ϵ is a design parameter. For the case with $K_i = K, \forall i$, the above DQT is simplified to

$$q_T(t) = \frac{\mu(t)}{K} + \epsilon, \quad \epsilon > 0. \quad (31)$$

Consider the closed-loop system behavior with no

buffer floor when the FRFC with DQT is applied. For simplicity we assume that only μ is time-varying while the number of VCs is fixed at n . Then, by combining (3), (1) and (30), we get the following closed-loop equation for the case with DQT:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}(t) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i q(t - \tau_i) \\ = -\frac{\epsilon}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i - \mu(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{K_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n K_j} \mu(t - \tau_i). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In contrast, the closed-loop equation (12) for the case with static q_T can be rewritten as

$$\dot{q}(t) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i q(t - \tau_i) = \frac{q_T}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i - \mu(t) \quad (33)$$

with time-varying $\mu(t)$. As we see in Eqs. (32) and (33), the major difference between the DQT case and the static queue threshold case is the third term in the right-hand side of the DQT case. The role of this term is to nullify the effect of time-varying $\mu(t)$ with delays. In particular, if $\mu(t)$ varies slowly or is piecewise constant with reasonably long intervals, the term $-\mu(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n (K_i/\sum_{j=1}^n K_j)\mu(t - \tau_i)$ remains small in magnitude or as a superposition of impulses so that the effect of $\mu(t)$ becomes nearly nullified as the system approaches steady-state. In contrast, with a static threshold, the effect of $\mu(t)$ remains governing the dynamics of $q(t)$ as you see in Eq. (33). This difference will result in superior performances of the FRFC with DQT in dynamic environments, as will be shown in the next section through simulations.

The idea of dynamic queue threshold can be applied to queue-length-based rate control mechanisms of any arbitrary order. In [6], we report its application to a class of second-order flow control algorithms such as the one in Eq. (2).

6. Performance

In this section, we simulate the network model to examine the performance of the proposed algorithm.

First we consider a static scenario where the assumptions **A.4** and **A.5** hold. The bottleneck bandwidth μ and the buffer size B are respectively set to 150 Mbps and 5000 cells, and there are 50 active VCs sourcing the traffic into the link. The round-trip delay τ_i of VCs is chosen uniformly in the range [10] ms to represent long propagation delays. To take into account the discrete-time effect of control, the FRFC is applied in the sample-and-hold manner with intervals defined by the rate of VC. We choose this interval aggressively long as if RM (resource management) cells [11] are issued every 128 data cells by the ABR sources. Fig. 3(a) shows the two steady-state solutions, (7) and (8), with $K_i = 10.0, \forall i$, and $q_0 = 500$ cells. If we choose q_T at 35477 cells, the queue $q(t)$ approaches 100 cells and the user rate $r_i(t)$ converges to the fair allocation (= 3 Mbps) as time goes. For the illustration, the rate trajectory of a VC with 40 ms round-trip delay is plotted in the figure. On the other hand, if we choose q_T at 35277 cells, $q(t)$ converges to 0 and $r_i(t)$ approaches 2.9915 Mbps as computed in (8). Notice that it is not necessary for q_T be smaller than the buffer size B .

Fig. 3(b) shows the effect of control gains on the queue and user rates with $K_i = 10.0, 15.0$ and 30.0 . In the above simulation scenario, the choice of $K_i = 10.0, 15.0$ and 30.0 satisfies the stability condition in Proposition 3.2 since $1/\tau_{\text{avg}} \approx 40$. While changing

the gain, we kept $\epsilon (= q_T - n\mu/\sum_{i=1}^n K_i)$ positive and constant at 100 cells by changing q_T correspondingly. For the larger K_i , the system suffers from poor transient behavior such as overshoots at the risk of link under-utilization and cell loss, but still remains asymptotically stable. This example tells that the sufficient stability condition that we derive can serve as a practically good gain selection criterion.

In the remaining studies, we keep $K_i = 10.0$ unless otherwise specified. Note that this value of K_i is one of the gain values examined in Section 4. We found there that for this gain value, the actual transient behavior (convergence rate) is faster than exponential for a homogeneous system. This provides additional confidence in our choice of gain.

Next we consider dynamic environments where μ and the set of active VCs are varying. For the FRFC with static threshold, we set q_T at 35877 cells aiming at $q_s = 500$ cells with $\mu(0) = 150$ Mbps. This design implies that if μ does not vary, $q(t)$ will converge to 500 cells. For the FRFC with DQT in (30), ϵ was fixed at 500 cells. First, we change $\mu(t)$ continuously in time with the derivatives of ± 10 and ± 20 Mbps/s and apply the FRFC with/without DQT. The trajectory of $\mu(t)$ is plotted in Fig. 4(a) as a solid curve. Also, in Fig. 4(a), the user rate $r_i(t)$ of a VC with longest round-trip delay (= 40 ms) is




Fig. 3. Control performance in static environment ($\mu = 150$ Mbps, # of VCs = 50, $\tau_i \in [10, 40]$ ms, $B = 5000$ cells): (a) two steady-state solutions with $K_i = 10.0, \forall i$, (b) effect of control gains K_i .




Fig. 4. Control performance when $\mu(t)$ is continuously varying ($\#$ of VCs = 50, $\tau_i \in [10, 40]$ ms, $B = 5000$ cells, $K_i = 10.0, \forall i$): (a) trajectories of $\mu(t)$ (solid curve) and $r_i(t)$ of a VC with 40 ms round-trip delay, (b) trajectories of $q(t)$ and bandwidth utilization.

compared for the two cases. With DQT $r_i(t)$ tracks well $\mu(t)$ with a time lag, whereas without DQT $r_i(t)$ suffers from loss of bandwidth as observed during the time interval [1.25, 2.5] sec. Fig. 4(b) explains why such a loss of bandwidth occurs without DQT. As explained in (33), with static threshold $q(t)$ essentially tracks the dynamics of $-\mu(t)$, consequently hitting both buffer floor and ceiling (see Fig. 4(b)). As also shown in Fig. 4(b), the bandwidth utilization drops while $q(t)$ hits buffer floor, and

hence the user suffers from the loss of bandwidth. On the other hand, if DQT is applied, $q(t)$ remains in the neighborhood of ϵ ($= 500$ cells), maintaining full utilization of bandwidth and no loss. This is because the time-varying dynamics of $\mu(t)$ does not directly affect the dynamics of $q(t)$. Rather, the difference between $\mu(t)$ and $\mu(t - \tau_i), \forall i$ behaves as explained in (32).

Similarly, we compare the performance of FRFC with/without DQT when $\mu(t)$ is piecewise constant




Fig. 5. Control performance when $\mu(t)$ is piecewise constant ($\#$ of VCs = 50, $\tau_i \in [10, 40]$ ms, $B = 5000$ cells, $K_i = 10.0, \forall i$): (a) trajectories of $\mu(t)$ (solid curve) and $r_i(t)$ of a VC with 40 ms round-trip delay, (b) trajectories of $q(t)$ and bandwidth utilization.




Fig. 6. Control performance upon arrivals and departures of VCs ($\mu = 150$ Mbps, $\tau_i \in [10, 40]$ ms, $B = 5000$ cells, $K_i = 10.0, \forall i$): (a) trajectories of number of VCs (solid curve) and $r_i(t)$ of VCs arriving at 0, 1, 2 sec in the case of FRFC with DQT. (b) trajectories of $q(t)$ and bandwidth utilization.

with 1 s intervals. The trajectory of $\mu(t)$ is plotted in Fig. 5(a) as a solid curve. Exactly same observations can be made as in the previous scenario. With DQT, $q(t)$ remains in the neighborhood of ϵ , which is the design parameter, maintaining full utilization of bandwidth and no loss (see Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 5(b)). As explained in (32), it is observed in the trajectory of $q(t)$ in Fig. 5(b) that the jumps in $\mu(t)$ affect $q(t)$ as impulses so that the effect of jumps vanish after a certain transient period.

Finally, we consider a dynamic scenario where VCs arrive and depart. For simplicity we keep μ constant at 150 Mbps. For the FRFC with static threshold, we set q_T at 35877 cells aiming at $q_s = 500$ cells with the given $I(0)$. This design implies that if $I(t)$ does not change, $q(t)$ will converge to 500 cells. For the FRFC with DQT in (30), ϵ was fixed at 500 cells. The trajectory of arrival/departure of VCs is plotted in Fig. 6(a) as a solid curve. Initially there are 50 VCs, 5 VCs simultaneously arrive at 1, 2 s and 3 VCs depart at 3 s. Also, in Fig. 6(a), $r_i(t)$ of three representative VCs respectively arriving at 0 s, 1 s and 2 s are shown only for the case with DQT. It is observed that the rates quickly converge to the fair share of the available bandwidth upon arrival and departure of VCs. The trajectories of $q(t)$ and the bandwidth utilization are found in Fig. 6(b). Again, the FRFC with DQT outperforms the FRFC without DQT maintaining no loss, full

utilization of available bandwidth and small queue. The spikes in $q(t)$ found in the case of the FRFC with DQT is due to the simultaneous arrivals of VCs. In practice, these spikes can be mitigated by applying a ceiling to $r_i(t)$ s at the source point to restrict the rates, but at the cost of longer transient period.


7. Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced a new queue-length-based ABR flow control algorithm (FRFC). The asymptotic stability of the closed-loop system and the steady-state throughput, queue length and fairness have been analyzed for the general case of multiple connections with diverse round-trip delays. Further the stability condition and a complete characterization approximation and an useful practical approximation of the transient behavior have been derived. We have also presented a novel approach to dynamically adjust the queue threshold in the FRFC according to the changes of available bandwidth and arrivals and departures of connections. Through simulations, we were able to show that the FRFC with dynamic queue threshold effectively maintains high throughput, small cell loss and MAX-MIN fairness even in the dynamic environments. The simplicity and effectiveness suggests that the FRFC with DQT

is a promising solution for ABR flow control in ATM networks. An extended analysis and a comprehensive cell-based simulation study for multi-hop configurations are under way and the results will be reported in a separate paper.

References

- [1] R. Bellman, K.L. Cooke, *Differential-Difference Equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- [2] L. Benmohamed, S.M. Meerkov, Feedback control of congestion in packet switching networks: the case of single congested node, *IEEE/ACM Trans. Network*, 1 (6) (1993) 693–708.
- [3] D. Bertsekas, R. Gallager, *Data Networks*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1992.
- [4] F. Bonomi, K.W. Fendick, The rate-based flow control framework for the available bit rate ATM service, *IEEE Network* 9 (2) (1995) 25–39.
- [5] F. Bonomi, D. Mitra, J.B. Seery, Adaptive algorithms for feedback-based flow control in high-speed, wide-area ATM networks, *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.* 13 (7) (1995) 1267–1283.
- [6] S. Chong, Second-order rate-based flow control with dynamic queue threshold for high-speed wide-area ATM networks, preprint 1997.
- [7] A. Elwalid, Analysis of adaptive rate-based congestion control for high-speed wide-area networks, *Proc. IEEE ICC'95*, pp. 1948–1953.
- [8] E. Hernandez-Valencia et al., Rate control algorithms for the ATM ABR service, *European Trans. Telecommun.* 8 (1) (1997) 7–20.
- [9] L. Kalampoukas, A. Varma, K.K. Ramakrishnan, An efficient rate allocation algorithm for ATM networks providing max-min fairness, Tech. Rep. UCSC-CRL-95-29, Computer Engineering Dept., University of California, Santa Cruz, June 1995.
- [10] K.K. Ramakrishnan, R. Jain, A binary feedback scheme for congestion avoidance in computer networks with a connectionless network layer, *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM'88*, pp. 303–313.
- [11] S. Sathaye, *ATM Forum Traffic Management Specification, Version 4.0*, February 1996.
- [12] G. Stépán, *Retarded Dynamical Systems: Stability and Characteristic Functions*, Longman, London, 1989.



Song Chong received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in Control Engineering from Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea, in 1988 and 1990, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in Computer Engineering from the University of Texas at Austin in 1995. From 1994 to 1996, he was a Member of Technical Staff in the Performance Analysis Department, Bell Laboratories, Holmdel, New Jersey, where he worked on architecture, control and performance evaluation of ATM networks. In September 1996, he joined the Department of Electronic Engineering, Sogang University, Seoul, Korea, where he is an Assistant Professor. His research interests include high-speed communication networks, queueing systems, stochastic models, control theories and artificial neural systems.

He is a member of IEEE and ACM and served as a member of the Technical Program Committee for the IEEE Infocom'97 Conference.



Ramesh Nagarajan is currently a member of technical staff in the Performance Analysis Department at Bell labs, Lucent Technologies. He graduated with a Ph.D. degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the University of Massachusetts, Amherst in 1993. His interests are in network planning and design, traffic management and data networking in general and has published several papers in these areas. He is also involved actively in the IEEE INFO-

COM conference planning committees.



Yung-Terng (Y.T.) Wang received the B.S. degree in Electrical Engineering from National Taiwan University in 1972 and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences from the University of California, Berkeley, in 1977 and 1978, respectively. Y.T. is a Technical Manager of the Performance Analysis Department at Bell Laboratories, Lucent Technologies, Holmdel, New Jersey. His current interests are in the areas of design and analysis

of high performance Broadband/ATM switches and networks.